

## Iterative Methods :-

Gauss-elimination or LU decomposition techniques are direct methods, i.e. a solution is obtained after a single application of GE or LU. Once a solution is obtained, GE offers no method of refinement.

⇒ Iterative methods begin with an initial guess  $x_0$ , then obtain a series of improved approximations  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  that converge to the exact solution.

⇒ This method can be stopped as soon as the approx.  $x_n$  have converged to an acceptable precision. In direct method, bailing out early, is not an option.

For large and sparse matrices, iterative methods are faster.

## Jacobi Method :-

Exm: 
$$\begin{aligned} 7x_1 - x_2 &= 6 \\ x_1 - 5x_2 &= -4 \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the system as:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{1}{7}(6 + x_2) \\ \& \ x_2 &= \frac{1}{5}(4 + x_1) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \textcircled{1}$$

Then we iterate the approx. as:

$$x_1^{(k)} = \frac{1}{7}(6 + x_2^{(k-1)})$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{5}(4 + x_1^{(k-1)})$$

for  $k=1, 2, \dots$  with an initial approx.  
 $x_1^{(0)} = 0, x_2^{(0)} = 0.$

k	0	1	2	3	4
$x_1^{(k)}$	0	$\frac{6}{7}$	$\frac{34}{35}$	0.9959	0.9994
$x_2^{(k)}$	0	$\frac{4}{5}$	$\frac{34}{35}$	0.9943	0.9992

clearly  $x_1^{(k)} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $x_2^{(k)} \rightarrow 1$ , the exact sol $\underline{\underline{ns}}$ .

Gauss-Seidel Method :-

One can modify Jacobi iteration to get faster (hopefully) convergence.

We iterate ① as follows:

$$x_1^{(k)} = \frac{1}{7} (6 + x_2^{(k-1)}) \text{ and then}$$

use the improved approx  $x_1^{(k)}$  to calculate:

$$x_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{5} (4 + x_1^{(k)}), \text{ with an initial approx. } x_2^{(0)} = 0. \text{ (} x_1^{(0)} \text{ does not matter)}$$

$$\text{Then, } x_1^{(1)} = \frac{6}{7}, \quad x_2^{(1)} = \frac{34}{35}$$

$$x_1^{(2)} = 0.9959, \quad x_2^{(2)} = 0.9992$$

k	0	1	2
$x_1^{(k)}$	0	$\frac{6}{7}$	0.9959
$x_2^{(k)}$	0	$\frac{34}{35}$	0.9992

Now try the system:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_1 - 5x_2 &= -4 \\ 7x_1 - x_2 &= 6 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

so that

$$x_1 = 5x_2 - 4$$

$$x_2 = 7x_1 - 6$$

$$\text{Thus Jacobi: } \begin{aligned} x_1^{(k)} &= 5x_2^{(k-1)} - 4 \\ x_2^{(k)} &= 7x_1^{(k-1)} - 6 \end{aligned}$$

or GS:

$$x_1^{(k)} = 5x_2^{(k-1)} - 4$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = 7x_1^{(k)} - 6$$

The table becomes: Jacobi

k	0	1	2	3	4
$x_1^{(k)}$	0	-4	-34	-174	-1244
$x_2^{(k)}$	0	-6	-34	-244	-1244

or GS

k	0	1	2
$x_1^{(k)}$	0	-4	-174
$x_2^{(k)}$	0	-34	-1224

i.e. both Jacobi & GS  
diverge.

Definition :- (Diagonally dominant)

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is strictly diagonally dominant if the absolute value of each entry on the main diagonal is greater than the sum of the absolute values of the other entries in the same row.

i.e.

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Result :- If  $A$  is strictly diagonally dominant, then the system  $Ax=b$  has a unique solution to which the Jacobi and the Gauss-Seidel method will converge for any initial approximation.

(Mises, Pollaczek - Geiringer)

$$Ax = b \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -1 \\ 1 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

A is diag dominant, but

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 7 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is not diag. dem.}$$

In matrix notation:-

$$A = L + D + U. \quad \text{with } D = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Jacobi Method:-

$$Dx = -(L+U)x + b$$

In iteration,

$$Dx^{(k)} = -(L+U)x^{(k-1)} + b$$

$$\text{i.e. } x^{(k)} = \frac{-(L+U)x^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}b}{D^{-1}}$$

with initial guess  $x^{(0)}$ .

Gauss-Seidel Method:-

$$(D+L)x = -Ux + b$$

In iteration,

$$x^{(k)} = \frac{-(D+L)^{-1}Ux^{(k-1)} + (D+L)^{-1}b}{(D+L)^{-1}}$$

with initial guess  $x^{(0)}$ .

Algebraically,

$$\text{Jacobi: } x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right), \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

&

$$\text{GS: } x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

## Some observations :-

### Jacobi :

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(k)} &= -D^{-1}(L+U)x^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}b \\ &= M_J x^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}b, \text{ say.}\end{aligned}$$

$M_J$  is the Jacobi iteration matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}M_J &= -D^{-1}(L+U) = D^{-1}(D-A) \\ &= I - D^{-1}A\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore I - M_J = D^{-1}A$$

$$\Rightarrow A \text{ diag. dominant} \Rightarrow \|I - D^{-1}A\|_{\infty} = \|M_J\|_{\infty} = \max_i \left| \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right| < 1$$

Proof  $\Rightarrow D^{-1}A$  is invertible  $\Rightarrow A$  is invertible.  $< 1$ .

Let,  $D^{-1}A$  is singular, then  $\exists x \neq 0$  s.t

$$D^{-1}A x = 0$$

$$0 \neq \|x\| = \|(I - D^{-1}A)x\|_{\infty} \leq \|I - D^{-1}A\|_{\infty} \|x\|_{\infty} < \|x\|_{\infty}$$

which is absurd.

### Gauss-Seidel :-

$$\begin{aligned}x^{(k)} &= -(D+L)^{-1}U x^{(k-1)} + (D+L)^{-1}b \\ &= M_G x^{(k-1)} + (D+L)^{-1}b.\end{aligned}$$

$M_G$  is the Gauss-Seidel iteration matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}M_G &= -(D+L)^{-1}U \\ &= -(D+L)^{-1}(A - (L+D)) \\ &= \underline{I - (L+D)^{-1}A}.\end{aligned}$$

Exm:-

$$4x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2$$

$$x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 = -6$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = -4$$

$$\text{Exact Soln} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Jacobi & Gauss-Seidel. (in matrix form)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Jacobi's iteration :-

$$Dx^{(k)} = -(A-D)x^{(k-1)} + b$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(k)} = (I - D^{-1}A)x^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}b$$

$$D^{-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 1/5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/4 & 1/4 \\ 1/5 & 1 & 2/5 \\ 1/3 & 2/3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So, } x^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/4 & -1/4 \\ -1/5 & 0 & -2/5 \\ -1/3 & -2/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x^{(k-1)} + \begin{pmatrix} 2/4 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

With zero initial guess,

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/4 \\ -6/5 \\ -4/3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.133 \\ -0.767 \\ -0.700 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.867 \\ -1.147 \\ -1.200 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.087 \\ -0.893 \\ -0.858 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Gauss-Seidel iteration:

$$(L+D)x^{(k)} = -Ux^{(k-1)} + b$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(k)} = -(L+D)^{-1}Ux^{(k-1)} + (L+D)^{-1}b.$$

$$D+L = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (D+L)^{-1}U = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/20 & 1/5 & 0 \\ -1/20 & -1/15 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/4 & 1/4 \\ 0 & -1/20 & 7/20 \\ 0 & -1/20 & -19/60 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } (D+L)^{-1}b = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/20 & 1/5 & 0 \\ -1/20 & -1/15 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ -13/10 \\ -19/30 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So, } x^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/4 & -1/4 \\ 0 & 1/20 & -7/20 \\ 0 & 1/20 & 19/60 \end{pmatrix} x^{(k-1)} + \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ -13/10 \\ -19/30 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With zero initial guess, the iteration will be:

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ -13/10 \\ -19/30 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.983 \\ -1.143 \\ -0.899 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.011 \\ -1.043 \\ -0.975 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.004 \\ -1.011 \\ -0.994 \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

If only diag. dom. (not strict)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both Jacobi & GS iterative schemes can be written as:

$$x^{(k)} = Px^{(k-1)} + q \quad \dots (*)$$

where  $P$  is a constant matrix and  $q$  is a constant vector.

Jacobi:  $P = M_J = I - D^{-1}A$

GS:  $P = M_G = I - (L+D)^{-1}A$

Convergence: - (Nekrasov / Pizetti)

The iterative scheme (\*) is convergent iff every eigen-value of  $P$  satisfies  $|\lambda| < 1$ , i.e. the spectral radius  $\rho(P) < 1$ .

$\Rightarrow$  If  $\|P\| = \max_{\|x\| \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} < 1$  for some norm, then (\*) will converge.

$$x^* = Px^* + q$$

$$\Rightarrow x^* - x^{(k)} = P(x^* - x^{(k-1)})$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{e_k = Pe_{k-1}} \quad \Rightarrow \|e_k\| \leq \|P\| \|e_{k-1}\|$$

$\Rightarrow$  Jacobi:  
GS:

$$P = -D^{-1}(L+U)$$

$$= - \begin{pmatrix} 1/a_{11} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1/a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \\ a_{n1} & \dots & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\swarrow$   $A = \text{diagonally dominant}$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_{12}/a_{11} & \dots & -a_{1n}/a_{11} \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ -a_{n1}/a_{nn} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n |P_{ij}| < 1 \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P\|_\infty < 1$$



Thm If  $n=2$ , Jacobi iteration converges iff GS converges.

Proof:

$$\rho(M_J) = \sqrt{\left| \frac{a_{21}a_{12}}{a_{11}a_{22}} \right|}$$

$$\& \rho(M_{GS}) = \frac{|a_{21}a_{12}|}{|a_{11}a_{22}|}$$

$$\rho(M_J) < 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \rho(M_{GS}) < 1$$

Thm For any  $n > 2$ , it is possible for Jacobi iteration to converge while GS diverges and conversely.

$$Ax = b$$

$$Bx^{(k)} = cx^{(k-1)} + b$$

$$A = B - c$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(k)} = \underline{(B^{-1}c)x^{(k-1)} + B^{-1}b}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

For Jacobi,  $\det(\lambda I - M_J) = 0$  gives  
 $\lambda^n - ab\lambda^{n-2} + (-1)^{n+1}a = 0$

For GS, we get

$$\lambda^{n-1} (\lambda - a(b + (-1)^n)) = 0$$

1)  $a \geq 1, b = (-1)^{n+1} \Rightarrow \rho(M_{GS}) = 0, \rho(M_J) \geq 1$

2)  $a = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{n+1}, b = (-1)^n \Rightarrow \rho(M_{GS}) = 1$  and  $\rho(M_J) < 1$

Exm

$$A_J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\rho(M_J) = 0.84$   
 $\rho(M_{GS}) = 1$

$\rho(M_J) = 0.92$   
 $\rho(M_{GS}) = 1$

#  $A_J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$\rho(M_J) = 0.71, \rho(M_{GS}) = 0.5$

J conv.  
GS div  $n \geq 2$

Exm

$$A_{GS} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{GS} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\rho(M_J) = 1.27$   
 $\rho(M_{GS}) = 0$

$\rho(M_J) = 1.32$   
 $\rho(M_{GS}) = 0$

#  $A_{GS} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$\rho(M_J) = 1, \rho(M_{GS}) = 1$

J div  
GS conv.  $n \geq 2$

Jacobi Algorithm :

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[ b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right], \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

Gauss-Seidel Algorithm :

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[ b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right]$$

$i=1, 2, \dots, n.$

Theorem 2. Let,  $\|\cdot\|$  be a matrix norm in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . It satisfies  $\rho(A) \leq \|A\|$ .

Proof:

Let,  $\rho(A) = |\lambda|$  and  $x \neq 0$  is the corresponding eigen vector

$$\|\lambda x\| = \rho(A) \|x\| = \|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\| \quad \text{if } \|\cdot\| \text{ is an operator norm/induced norm}$$
$$\Rightarrow \underline{\rho(A) \leq \|A\|}$$

If  $\|\cdot\|$  is not an induced norm, but a consistent norm, then take  $y \neq 0 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$xy^T \neq 0$$

$$Axy^T = \lambda xy^T$$

$$\text{Now, } \|\lambda xy^T\| = \|Axy^T\| \leq \|A\| \|xy^T\|$$

$$|\lambda| \|xy^T\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\rho(A) \leq \|A\|}$$

Note:

J & GS converge iff  $\rho(A) < 1$ .

So, if for some matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\|A\| < 1$

then  $\rho(A) \leq \|A\| < 1$  so that J & GS converge.

Theorem 1. Let,  $\|\cdot\|$  be an induced matrix norm. If  $\|P\| < 1$ , then  $x^{(k+1)} = Px^{(k)} + c$  converges for any  $x^{(0)}$

Proof Let,  $e_{k+1} = x^{(k+1)} - x$  is the error.

Then  $x^{(k+1)} = Px^{(k)} + c$  &  $x = Px + c$  give

$$e_{k+1} = Pe_k.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|e_{k+1}\| \leq \|P\| \|e_k\|$$

$\leq \|P\|^{k+1} \|e_0\|$  which converges to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$\|P\| < 1$$

Theorem 3. For all  $P$  and  $\epsilon > 0 \exists$  an induced norm  $\|\cdot\|_I$  such that  $\|P\|_I \leq \rho(P) + \epsilon$ .

Hint:  $\exists S$  such that

$$S^{-1}PS = J, \text{ a Jordan form.}$$

Let,  $D_\epsilon = \text{diag}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon^{n-1})$ . Then

$$(SD_\epsilon)^{-1}P(SD_\epsilon) = D_\epsilon^{-1}JD_\epsilon$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \epsilon & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_1 \end{matrix} & \\ \hline & \begin{matrix} \epsilon & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & \epsilon & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_2 \end{matrix} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

Define the vector norm:

$$\|x\|_I = \|(SD_\epsilon)^{-1} x\|_\infty \text{ to generate}$$

the induced norm:

$$\|P\|_I = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Px\|_I}{\|x\|_I}$$

$$= \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|(SD_\epsilon)^{-1} Px\|_\infty}{\|(SD_\epsilon)^{-1} x\|_\infty} \quad [\text{let } y = (SD_\epsilon)^{-1} x]$$

$$= \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|(SD_\epsilon)^{-1} P (SD_\epsilon) y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_\infty}$$

$$= \|(SD_\epsilon)^{-1} P (SD_\epsilon)\|_\infty$$

$$= \max_i |\lambda_i| + \epsilon$$

$$= \underline{\rho(P) + \epsilon}$$

Theorem 4.

The iteration  $x^{(k+1)} = Px^{(k)} + c$  converges for any initial vector  $x^{(0)}$  iff  $\rho(P) < 1$ .

Proof: -

let, the iteration  $x^{(k+1)} \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

If possible, let,  $\rho(P) \geq 1$  and  $\rho(P) = |\lambda|$

let,  $x^{(0)} - x$  be an eigen vector corresponding to  $\lambda$ , i.e. choose  $x^{(0)} = v + x$ ,  $v$  being an eigen vector.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(k+1)} - x &= P(x^{(k)} - x) = P^{k+1}(x^{(0)} - x) \\ &= \lambda^{k+1}(x^{(0)} - x) \not\rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } |\lambda| \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So,  $\rho(P) < 1$ .

Let,  $\rho(P) < 1$ . There exists an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  s.t.

$$\rho(P) + \epsilon_0 < 1$$

By Thm 3,  $\exists \|P\|_F$  s.t.

$$\|P\|_F \leq \rho(P) + \epsilon_0 < 1$$

So, by Thm 1,  $x^{(k+1)} = Px^{(k)} + c$  ~~converges~~ Converges

Def.: Rate of convergence:  $\rho(P) = \underline{-\log_{10} \rho(P)}$

The smaller the  $\rho(P)$ , the higher is the rate of convergence.

Successive Over-relaxation (SOR):

To improve GS, we take an appropriate weighted average of  $x_i^{(k+1)}$  &  $x_i^{(k)}$  to get new  $x_i^{(k+1)}$ .

$$\text{G.S: } x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[ b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right]$$

$$\text{SOR: } \bar{x}_i^{(k+1)} = (1-\omega) x_i^{(k)} + \omega x_i^{(k+1)}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

We call it  $x_i^{(k+1)}$ , itself.

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = (1-\omega) x_i^{(k)} + \frac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[ b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right]$$

In matrix form,

$$(D + \omega L)x^{(k+1)} = \omega b - (\omega U + (\omega - 1)D)x^{(k)}$$

$$\therefore x^{(k+1)} = -(D + \omega L)^{-1}(\omega U + (\omega - 1)D)x^{(k)} + (D + \omega L)^{-1}\omega b$$

$$P_{SOR} = -(D + \omega L)^{-1}(\omega U + (\omega - 1)D)$$

# If  $\omega = 1$ :  $SOR \equiv GS$ .

# If we vary  $\omega$ ,  $\rho(P_{SOR})$  smallest may be possible.

In general  $\omega_{opt} > 1$ : so over-relaxation.

$\omega < 1$ : under relaxation.

Theorem 5  $\rho(P_{SOR}) \geq |\omega - 1|$

Hence convergence is possible if  $\omega \in (0, 2)$ .

Proof:

$$P_{SOR} = - (D + \omega L)^{-1}(\omega U + (\omega - 1)D)$$

$$= \underbrace{(I + \omega D^{-1}L)^{-1}}_{\text{unit lower triangular matrix}} \underbrace{((1 - \omega)I - \omega D^{-1}U)}_{\text{upper triangular matrix with diagonal } (1 - \omega)}$$

unit lower triangular matrix

upper triangular matrix with diagonal  $(1 - \omega)$

$$\therefore \det(P_{SOR}) = (1 - \omega)^n$$

$$\text{and } |\det(P_{SOR})| \leq (\rho(P_{SOR}))^n$$

$$\therefore |1 - \omega|^n \leq (\rho(P_{SOR}))^n \Rightarrow \underline{\rho(P_{SOR}) \geq |\omega - 1|}$$

SOR converges <sup>only</sup> if

$$|\omega - 1| < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{0 < \omega < 2}$$

Theorem 6. Let,  $A$  is spd. Then SOR converges for  $\omega \in (0, 2)$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{SOR}} &= (D + \omega L)^{-1} (D - \omega D - \omega U) \\ &= (D + \omega L)^{-1} (D + \omega L - \omega A) \\ &= I - \omega (D + \omega L)^{-1} A \\ &= I - \left(L + \frac{1}{\omega} D\right)^{-1} A \\ &= \underline{I - B^{-1} A} \end{aligned}$$

with  $B = \left(L + \frac{1}{\omega} D\right)$ .

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue <sup>of  $P_{\text{SOR}}$</sup>  and  $x$  is an eigenvector,

$$P_{\text{SOR}} x = (I - B^{-1} A) x = \lambda x$$

$$\Rightarrow x - B^{-1} A x = \lambda x$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{A x = (1 - \lambda) B x}$$

Since  $A$  is spd,  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{1 - \lambda} = \frac{x^T B x}{x^T A x}$$

Now,  $B + B^T = \frac{2}{\omega} D + L + L^T = \left(\frac{2}{\omega} - 1\right) D + A$ ,

$A$  being ~~spd~~ symmetric. So,

$$\text{Re} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^T (B + B^T) x}{x^T A x} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{\omega} - 1\right) \frac{x^T D x}{x^T A x} + 1 \right\}$$



~~Proof~~

$$\therefore \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } \omega \in (0, 2)$$

If  $\lambda = u + iv$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right) = \frac{1-u}{(1-u)^2 + v^2}$$

$$\therefore \underline{|\lambda|^2 = u^2 + v^2 < 1}$$

So,  $\rho(P_{\text{SOR}}) < 1$

Cos: GS converges for spd matrices.

~~(Young, 1952)~~

Thm. ~~If the eigenvalues of  $P_J = I - D^{-1}A$  are real and  $\rho(P_J) < 1$ , then the optimal SOR  $\omega$  is given by~~

$$\omega_{\text{opt}} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(P_J)^2}}$$

$$\underline{\rho(P_{\text{SOR}}) = \omega_{\text{opt}} - 1}$$

Defn: The matrix  $A = L + D + U$  is called consistently ordered if the eigen-values of

$$C(\alpha) = -D^{-1}\left(\alpha L + \frac{1}{\alpha} U\right) \text{ are independent of } \alpha.$$

$$C(1) = -D^{-1}(L + U) = I - D^{-1}A = P_J.$$

Thm Let,  $A$  is consistently ordered and  $P_J = I - D^{-1}A$  has real eigenvalues and  $\rho(P_J) < 1$ . Then

$$\omega_{opt} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(P_J)^2}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\rho(P_{SOR}) = \omega_{opt}^{-1} = \frac{\rho(P_{SOR})^2}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho(P_J)^2})^2}$$

Exm: Tri-diagonal <sup>spd</sup> matrices.

-  $\omega_{opt} \in (1, 2)$

Theorem: GS method converges if  $A$  is strictly diagonally dominant.

Proof: GS:

$$x^{(k+1)} = -(L+D)^{-1} U x^{(k)} + (L+D)^{-1} b$$

This scheme will be convergent if

$$\rho(-(L+D)^{-1} U) < 1$$

$$P_{GS} = -(L+D)^{-1} (A - (L+D))$$

$$= I - (L+D)^{-1} A$$

Let,  $\lambda$  be an eigen value and  $x$  is ~~the~~ an eigen-vector of  $P_{GS}$  with  $\|x\|_{\infty} = 1$ .

Let,  $|x_m| = 1$  &  $|x_i| \leq 1 \quad \forall i \neq m$ .

$$(I - (D+L)^{-1} A) x = \lambda x$$

$$\Rightarrow (D+L) x - A x = \lambda (D+L) x$$

$$\Rightarrow - \sum_{j>m} a_{mj} x_j = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^m a_{mj} x_j \quad (\text{mth equation})$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda a_{mm} x_m = - \sum_{j>m} a_{mj} x_j - \lambda \sum_{j<m} a_{mj} x_j$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda| |a_{mm}| \leq \sum_{j>m} |a_{mj}| |x_j| + |\lambda| \sum_{j<m} |a_{mj}| |x_j|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j>m} |a_{mj}| + |\lambda| \sum_{j<m} |a_{mj}|$$

$$\therefore |\lambda| \leq \frac{\sum_{j>m} |a_{mj}|}{|a_{mm}| - \sum_{j<m} |a_{mj}|} < 1 \quad \text{if } A \text{ is strictly diag. dom.}$$

So,  $\rho(P_{GS}) < 1$ .